UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,783	04/21/2006 Makoto Katayama		MAT-8842US	5881
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 11/23/201 STIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980	CE DA 10492	BAYOU, AMENE SETEGNE		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3746		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/23/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/576,78	3	KATAYAMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		AMENE BA	YOU	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	uaust 2011						
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This	_	on-final.					
3)	An election was made by the applicant in response			set forth during the	e interview on			
-/-	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.							
4)	_							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•					
	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-18</u> is/are pending in the app							
	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-15,17</u> is/are allowed.							
·	7) Claim(s) 16 and 18 is/are rejected.							
·	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
11)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🔲 Infori	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 18 recites "the first groove portion has a gradually reducing depth from the boundary line to the first outer shape and the second grove portion has a gradually reducing depth from the boundary line to the second outer shape". Applicant's invention as shown in figure 4 only shows the general piston groove depth in relation to COP. Such range is clearly marked as "range of invention". There is no specific either on the drawing or on the rest of the specification as originally filed that relates the depth parameter to the boundary line, the first outer shape and the second outer shape.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

3. The following is a quotation of the **second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112**:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3746

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. In re claim 16, line 2-3 recites "a widest portion of the groove" which is indefinite since it can not be ascertained to which groove applicant is referring to. Please note that claim 6 recites "grooves are provided" and that "the grooves include first groove portion" and "second groove portions".

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-15 have been considered but are most in view of the fact that the claims are indicated as being allowable except a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph related to claim amendment.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-3,5-15,17 are allowable.

The prior art of record fail to teach a groove formed on upper and lower side of a piston wherein the outer shapes of the grooves is a closed semicircular shape extending toward a skirt side of the piston.

Claims 16 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3746

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amene S. Bayou whose telephone number is 571-270-3214. The examiner can normally be reached on miff attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

Art Unit: 3746

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

/Amene S Bayou/

1000.

Examiner, Art Unit 3746